



Executive summary on Ceramic membranes – Case related protocol for optimal operational conditions to treat filter backwash water

Introduction

Inorganic membranes are resistant to mechanical, chemical and thermal stress. They have a high porosity and a hydrophilic surface. These properties may open new fields for applications in water treatment, such as the treatment of residuals from drinking water production or the direct treatment of surface waters. However, today ceramic membranes are still much more expensive with respect to the membrane area compared to membranes produced from organic materials. Costs of organic membranes showed a sharp decrease in recent years leading to the assumption that a similar development for ceramic membranes may occur in the future. Moreover, higher fluxes for ceramic membranes will decrease the required membrane area for a given water flow. Longer membrane life time is another factor which may compensate the higher investment costs compared to organic membranes.

Importance

Waterworks using surface water for drinking water production may include several filtration steps by conventional filters such as for particle removal. The backwash water of these filters contains the particle load of the raw water including added flocculants. Innovative methods for the treatment of these backwash waters, such as the treatment with ceramic membranes, are helpful to allow an environmentally friendly disposal.

Approach

Within this project examinations were conducted to implement inorganic membranes for treatment of backwash waters. A pilot plant was developed to pick up various inorganic membrane elements, such as different cut-offs and channel diameters in cross-flow and dead-end operation. The pilot plant was designed to operate fully automated in cross-flow as well as in dead-end mode. Online sensors and data loggers were installed to monitor flow, pressure and temperature. The pilot plant was operated in a waterworks with real backwash water. High loaded backwash waters (e.g. turbidity up to 560 NTU, aluminium concentration up to 256 mg/L) were treated with inorganic membranes in dead-end and cross-flow mode. Micro- and ultrafiltration membranes made from Al_2O_3 or SiC were used.

Result

Results indicated that the ceramic membranes tested were efficient to improve the backwash water quality.

Among the membrane types tested SiC and Al₂O₃ membranes tend to show a similar fouling behaviour.

Cross-flow filtration with the inorganic membranes resulted in good operational behaviour even for treatment of high loaded backwash water. However, energy consumption for cross-flow mode was considered as too high in relation to the achieved filtrate flow.

Al₂O₃ ultrafiltration membranes showed only a slightly higher total membrane resistance compared to microfiltration membranes, even the removal efficiency is better.

The intermediate results demonstrated that inorganic membranes may make full scale applications not implausible. Further research is necessary to investigate open questions including the influence of the feed water type, long term intervals for chemical cleaning of the membrane and the cost-benefit ratios compared to organic membranes.

More information

Deliverable number:	D 2.3.3.5.a
Name of author(s):	Uwe Mueller and Marco Witte
Organization:	DVGW Water Technology Center (TZW) http://www.TZW.de
Contact person:	Uwe Mueller
Telephone number:	+49/(0)721-9678-257
Email:	mueller@tzw.de

TECHNEAU Knowledge Integrator (TKI) categorisation Categorisation of Knowledge Packages

TKI Categorisation

Classification		Process Chain	Process Chain (cont'd)	Water Quality	Water Quantity (cont'd)
Supply Chain	Process Chain	Process Chain (cont'd)	Water Quality	Water Quantity (cont'd)	
Source	Raw water storage	Sludge treatment	X	Legislation/regulation	
- Catchment	- Supply reservoir	- Settlement		- Raw water (source)	- Leakage
- Groundwater	- Bankside storage	- Thickening		- Treated water	- Recycle
- Surface water	Pretreatment	- Dewatering		Chemical	
- Spring water	- Screening	- Disposal		- Organic compounds	
- Storm water	- Microstraining	Chemical dosing		- Inorganic compounds	
- Brackish/seawater	Primary treatment	- pH adjustment		- Disinfection by-products	
- Wastewater	- Sedimentation	- Coagulant		- Corrosion	
Raw water storage	- Rapid filtration	- Polyelectrolyte		- Scaling	
- Supply reservoir	- Slow sand filtration	- Disinfectant		- Chlorine decay	
- Bankside storage	- Bank filtration	- Lead/plumbosolvency		Microbiological	
Water treatment	- Dune infiltration	Control/instrumentation		- Viruses	Consumers / Risk
- Pretreatment	Secondary treatment	- Flow		- Parasites	
- Primary treatment	- Coagulation/flocculation	- Pressure		- Bacteria	Trust
- Secondary treatment	- Sedimentation	- pH		- Fungi	- In water safety/ quality
- Sludge treatment	- Filtration	- Chlorine		Aesthetic	- In security of supply
Treated water storage	- Dissolved air flotation(DAF)	- Dosing		- Hardness / alkalinity	- In suppliers
- Service reservoir	- Ion exchange	- Telemetry		- pH	- In regulations and regulators
Distribution	- Membrane treatment	X Analysis		- Turbidity	Willingness-to-pay/acceptance

- Pumps	- Adsorption	- Chemical	- Colour	- For safety
- Supply pipe / main	- Disinfection	- Microbiological	- Taste	- For taste/ odour improved
Tap (Customer)	- Dechlorination	- Physical	- Odour	- For infrastructure
- Supply (service) pipe	Treated water storage			- For security of supply
- Internal plumbing	- Service reservoir		Water Quantity	Risk Communication
- Internal storage	Distribution			- Communication strategies
	- Disinfection		Source	- Potential pitfalls
	- Lead/plumbosolvency		- Source management	- Proven techniques
	- Manganese control		- Alternative source(s)	
	- Biofilm control		Management	
	Tap (Customer)		- Water balance	
	- Point-of-entry (POE)		- Demand/supply trend(s)	
	- Point-of-use (POU)		- Demand reduction	

TKI Categorisation (continued)

Contains	Constraints	Meta data		
Report	X Low cost	Author(s)		
Database	Simple technology	Organisation(s)		
Spreadsheet	No/low skill requirement	Contact name		
Model	No/low energy requirement	Contact email		
Research	No/low chemical requirement	Quality controller name		
Literature review	No/low sludge production	Quality controller/ organisation		
Trend analysis	Rural location	Source		
Case study / demonstration	X Developing world location	Date prepared		
Financial / organisational		Date submitted (TKI)		
Methodology		Date revised (TKI)		

